International Journal on Education Insight
Vol. 6, No. 1, 2025, pp. 19-30
p-ISSN: 2722-2683, e-ISSN: 2722-2691, DOI: 10.12928/ijei.v6i1.13291

Structural challenges in developing entrepreneurial programs for undergraduates in Nigerian universities: A concern for educational planners

Adejoke O. Sheyin
Department of Educational Management, College of Specialized and Professional Education, Tai Solarin University of Education, Ijagun, Ijebu Ode, Nigeria
*Corresponding e-mail: sheyinao@tasued.edu.ng

Abstract

Entrepreneurial programs in higher education play a vital role in equipping students with the skills, knowledge, and mindset necessary for success in today's dynamic and competitive business environment. This study explores the key elements of successful entrepreneurial programs in Nigerian universities, highlighting their importance in fostering innovation, economic growth, and social change. It examines the challenges faced in the development of such programs, including curriculum limitations, inadequate resources, and a lack of experiential learning opportunities. Drawing on existing literature and theoretical frameworks, such as experiential learning theory, this paper underscores the need for a comprehensive, hands-on approach to entrepreneurship education that emphasizes creativity, risk-taking, and problem-solving. It further recommends strategies for enhancing entrepreneurial programs, such as curriculum innovation, stronger mentorship networks, improved access to resources, and fostering a culture of resilience. The findings suggest that well-structured entrepreneurial programs can significantly contribute to job creation, economic development, and the cultivation of socially responsible entrepreneurs. By addressing the structural challenges and incorporating best practices from successful global programs, Nigerian universities can create an entrepreneurial ecosystem that empowers students to launch successful businesses and drive sustainable economic progress.

Keywords: curriculum development, entrepreneurial programs, experiential learning, higher education, mentorship

How to cite: Sheyin, A. O. (2025). Structural challenges in developing entrepreneurial programs for undergraduates in Nigerian universities: A concern for educational planners. *International Journal on Education Insight, 6*(1), 19-30. DOI: 10.12928/ijei.v6i1.13291

Article history: Received May 17, 2025; Revised May 26, 2025; Accepted May 26, 2025

INTRODUCTION

Entrepreneurship is widely recognized as a critical driver of economic growth, job creation, and innovation in both developed and developing economies. In Nigeria, the high rate of youth unemployment has underscored the need for universities to incorporate entrepreneurial programs into their curricula to equip students with the skills and mindset necessary for self-employment and enterprise creation (Ihugba et al., 2013). However, despite efforts to integrate entrepreneurship education into undergraduate programs, structural challenges continue to impede its effectiveness and overall impact.

Usoro (2012) emphasized that education is fundamental to building human capital, a critical driver of economic growth, technological advancement, and social transformation. However, the current state of education is often criticized for inadequate funding, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and a lack of creativity and innovation in its curriculum. Reimagining education to meet the

demands of the 21st century remains a key aspiration. According to the Federal Republic of Nigeria (2004), the goals of education include developing individuals' intellectual capacities to understand and engage with their environment, as well as acquiring both physical and intellectual skills to become productive members of society through equitable education. Similarly, Davwet et al. (2019) highlighted that incorporating entrepreneurship education into university curricula aims to equip undergraduates with the necessary skills to thrive and contribute meaningfully to society upon graduation.

According to the National Bureau of Statistics report (2019), the state's unemployment rate indicates that 20.9 million Nigerians are unemployed as in the third quarter of 2018, Akwa Ibom recorded the highest unemployment rate of 37.7% in the third quarter in 2018. The unemployment rate also surges in other Niger Delta oil-producing states, despite the rise in monthly allocation to all tiers of government. The unemployment problem in Nigeria varies by regions. While the South-West looks to be the hotbed of job creation, other parts of Southern Nigeria like the Niger Delta Region are lagging. It is hard to disassociate this reality from the perpetual state of political and social unrest.

One of the primary structural challenges lies in the inadequacy of infrastructure and resources to support hands-on learning in entrepreneurial programs. Many Nigerian universities lack the necessary facilities, such as business incubation centers, well-equipped laboratories, and digital tools, that are essential for experiential learning (Obaji & Olugu, 2014). This infrastructure gap limits students' ability to translate theoretical knowledge into practical entrepreneurial ventures. There is a mismatch between the entrepreneurial curricula and the realities of the Nigerian business environment. Educational planners often fail to align course content with the skills and competencies demanded by the evolving market landscape. As a result, graduates find themselves ill-prepared to navigate the complexities of starting and managing businesses in the competitive Nigerian economy (Olawale & Garwe, 2010).

Another significant issue is the shortage of qualified instructors with both academic expertise and practical entrepreneurial experience. Many universities rely on traditional teaching methods that focus on rote learning rather than problem-solving and critical thinking, which are essential for entrepreneurial success (Adegbite et al., 2017). This teaching approach further reduces the effectiveness of entrepreneurial programs in fostering innovative and enterprising graduates. Policy inconsistencies and inadequate funding also exacerbate the structural problems facing entrepreneurial education in Nigerian universities. Frequent changes in government policies regarding education and entrepreneurship create an unstable environment for the planning and implementation of sustainable programs. Furthermore, limited financial investment in higher education restricts the ability of universities to prioritize and develop robust entrepreneurial initiatives (Ogundele et al., 2012).

The inclusion of entrepreneurial programs in the curricula of Nigerian universities has been widely recognized as a strategic approach to addressing the alarming rate of youth unemployment and fostering economic self-reliance. However, despite the introduction of these programs, many graduates remain ill-equipped to transition into entrepreneurship or create sustainable enterprises. This discrepancy raises concerns about the effectiveness and structure of these programs in Nigerian universities. One major issue is the lack of adequate infrastructure and resources necessary for practical learning, such as business incubation centers, laboratories, and digital tools. Without these, students struggle to translate theoretical knowledge into actionable

entrepreneurial skills. Additionally, the content of entrepreneurial curricula often fails to align with the realities of the Nigerian business environment, leaving graduates unprepared to navigate the complexities of starting and managing enterprises.

Furthermore, there is a shortage of qualified instructors who possess both academic knowledge and practical entrepreneurial experience. Traditional teaching methods that emphasize rote learning rather than critical thinking and problem-solving further undermine the effectiveness of these programs. Policy inconsistency and inadequate funding exacerbate these challenges. Frequent changes in government policies and insufficient financial support hinder the development and implementation of robust entrepreneurial programs, leaving universities ill-equipped to meet the demands of modern entrepreneurship education. Given these challenges, it is imperative for educational planners to reassess and address the structural deficiencies in the development of entrepreneurial programs for undergraduates. A collaborative approach involving universities, industry stakeholders, and government agencies is essential to create an ecosystem that fosters innovation, skill development, and entrepreneurial success among Nigerian undergraduates.

RESEARCH METHOD

Drawing on existing literature and theoretical frameworks, such as experiential learning theory, this paper underscores the need for a comprehensive, hands-on approach to entrepreneurship education that emphasizes creativity, risk-taking, and problem-solving. It further recommends strategies for enhancing entrepreneurial programs, such as curriculum innovation, stronger mentorship networks, improved access to resources, and fostering a culture of resilience.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Conceptual clarification of entrepreneurship education

Entrepreneurship education refers to the structured process of equipping individuals with the knowledge, skills, attitudes, and competencies necessary to identify opportunities, develop innovative solutions, and successfully establish and manage business ventures. Fayolle and Gailly (2008) explain that entrepreneurship education aims to foster entrepreneurial mindsets and behaviors, enabling learners to act as agents of economic and social change. Similarly, Nabi et al. (2017) define entrepreneurship education as a curriculum designed to nurture creativity, innovation, risk-taking, and business acumen, preparing individuals to navigate the complexities of entrepreneurial ecosystems.

Entrepreneurship education is the structured process of developing individuals' entrepreneurial knowledge, skills, and attitudes to enable them to identify opportunities, create and grow ventures, and adapt to dynamic market environments. It is an educational approach that not only fosters business creation but also promotes innovation, leadership, and socio-economic development (European Commission, 2008). Entrepreneurship education can be formal, delivered through academic programs, or informal, acquired through workshops, mentoring, or industry exposure (Henry et al., 2005).

Component of entrepreneurship education

Entrepreneurship education typically comprises several interconnected components, each designed to build specific entrepreneurial competencies.

Knowledge acquisition
This component consists of two aspects—business concepts, where students need to understand fundamental business principles such as marketing, finance, operations, and strategy (Gibb, 2002); and legal and ethical issues, where students learn familiarity with regulations, intellectual property rights, and ethical practices in business operations.

Skill development.
Several aspects are needed for skill development. First, creative thinking and innovation. It encourages learners to think outside the box and develop innovative solutions to problems (Kirby, 2004). Then, problem-solving and decision-making. It equips students with the ability to analyze challenges and make informed decisions. And, leadership and teamwork. It builds leadership qualities and the capacity to work collaboratively in dynamic environments.

Practical application
In this component, the aspects include: business simulations and case studies, using real-world scenarios to allow learners to practice entrepreneurial decision-making. Internships and apprenticeships, by providing hands-on experience in existing businesses to understand daily operations and challenges (Neck & Greene, 2011). Incubation and start-up support, by offering resources and mentorship to students interested in launching their ventures.

Behavioral and attitudinal development
First, risk-taking and sesilience, conducted by cultivating the ability to take calculated risks and recover from setbacks (Raposo & Do Paço, 2011). Second, self-efficacy, by building confidence in one's ability to achieve entrepreneurial success. And then, ethical responsibility, by instilling values of integrity and sustainability in entrepreneurial practices.

Networking and ecosystem engagement
In this component, there are aspect of industry connections, by facilitating interactions with entrepreneurs, investors, and industry experts; and aspect of ecosystem awareness, by familiarizing students with the entrepreneurial landscape, including funding opportunities and market trends (Fayolle & Gailly, 2018).

Entrepreneurship education is a holistic approach that integrates theoretical knowledge, practical skills, and behavioral attributes essential for entrepreneurial success. By addressing these components, universities can prepare learners to identify opportunities, innovate, and contribute meaningfully to economic and social development.

Importance of entrepreneurial programs in higher education
Entrepreneurial programs in higher education are crucial for fostering innovation, creating employment opportunities, and promoting economic growth. These programs equip students with the knowledge, skills, and mindset necessary to identify and exploit opportunities, adapt to challenges, and contribute to societal development.

Fostering innovation and creativity
Entrepreneurial education encourages students to think critically, solve problems creatively, and develop innovative solutions. According to Fayolle and Gailly (2018), entrepreneurial programs stimulate creative thinking by exposing students to real-world business challenges and encouraging experimentation

with new ideas. This fosters an entrepreneurial mindset essential for addressing complex problems in various fields.

Enhancing employability
Higher education institutions integrate entrepreneurial skills such as leadership, communication, and teamwork into their programs, enhancing students' employability. Graduates are better equipped to enter the workforce, as they possess transferable skills applicable across multiple industries (Pittaway & Cope, 2017). Additionally, entrepreneurship education provides an alternative career path, encouraging students to create jobs rather than seeking them.

Economic growth and job creation
Entrepreneurial programs contribute to economic development by nurturing individuals who establish and grow businesses, thereby generating jobs and stimulating local economies. Audretsch (2017) asserts that entrepreneurial education produces graduates who are catalysts for innovation and economic prosperity, particularly in developing countries where unemployment is a significant challenge.

Building resilience and risk management
Entrepreneurial education helps students develop resilience, a critical attribute for navigating uncertainties and setbacks. Students learn to take calculated risks and recover from failures, skills vital for entrepreneurs operating in unpredictable markets (Neck & Greene, 2011). This ability to adapt and persevere is beneficial not only in business ventures but also in personal and professional contexts.

Promoting self-sufficiency and financial independence
Entrepreneurial programs empower students to identify and leverage opportunities for personal financial growth. By learning how to start and sustain businesses, students gain the tools to achieve financial independence, reducing reliance on traditional employment and external support systems (Raposo & Do Paço, 2011).

Encouraging sustainable development
Entrepreneurial education promotes sustainability by teaching students to integrate social and environmental considerations into their business strategies. Programs often focus on sustainable entrepreneurship, encouraging students to address global challenges such as climate change and resource scarcity through innovative business solutions (Hindle, 2010).

Addressing social issues
Social entrepreneurship, a component of many entrepreneurial programs, empowers students to tackle societal issues such as poverty, inequality, and lack of access to education and healthcare. By blending business acumen with a social mission, students can drive transformative change in their communities (Seelos & Mair, 2015).

Closing the gap between theory and practice
Entrepreneurial programs bridge the gap between academic theory and practical application. They provide students with opportunities to engage in hands-on activities such as business simulations, internships, and start-up incubation, fostering experiential learning and real-world preparedness (Kolb, 1984).

Entrepreneurial programs in higher education play a vital role in shaping well-rounded, innovative, and resilient individuals who contribute meaningfully to society. By fostering creativity, enhancing employability, driving economic growth, and addressing global challenges, these programs equip students to thrive in a rapidly changing world.

Experiential learning theory

This paper is anchored on “experiential learning theory”. As the name suggests, experiential learning involves learning from experience. The theory was propounded by psychologist David Kolb in 1971, he was influenced by the work of other theorists including John Dewey, Kurt Lewin, and Jean Piaget. Experiential Learning Theory (ELT), developed in 1971 and published by David Kolb in 1984, provides a foundational framework for understanding how individuals learn through experience.

ELT emphasizes the importance of hands-on, practical engagement in the learning process, making it particularly relevant to entrepreneurial education. According to Kolb, learning is a continuous process grounded in experience, where knowledge is created through the transformation of experience (Kolb, 1984). This type of learning was defined by Kolb as "the process whereby knowledge is created through the transformation of experience. Knowledge results from the combinations of grasping and transforming the experience."

Experiential learning theory differs from cognitive and behavioral theories in that cognitive theories emphasize the role of mental processes while behavioral theories ignore the possible role of subjective experience in the learning process. The experiential theory takes a more holistic approach and emphasizes how experiences, including cognition, environmental factors, and emotions, influence the learning process.

Key components of experiential learning theory

Kolb’s theory is based on a four-stage learning cycle and four learning styles.

Learning cycle

First, concrete experience (CE)—learners actively engaged in a specific experience. For example, they might participate in a business simulation or run a small venture. Second, reflective observation (RO)—learners reflect on their experience, analyzing what went well and identifying areas for improvement. Third, abstract conceptualization (AC)—based on reflection, learners develop theories, concepts, or strategies that can be applied to similar situations. And, active experimentation (AE)—learners test their newly formed ideas in real-world contexts, completing the cycle and starting it anew (Kolb, 1984).

Learning styles

Kolb identified four learning styles that reflect individual preferences for engaging with the learning cycle: (1) Diverging: Focused on concrete experiences and reflective observation; (2) Assimilating: Emphasizes abstract conceptualization and reflective observation; (3) Converging: Prefers abstract conceptualization and active experimentation; and (4) Accommodating: Prioritizes concrete experiences and active experimentation (Kolb & Kolb, 2005).

Relevance of ELT to entrepreneurial education

Experiential learning theory aligns closely with the goals of entrepreneurial education, which aims to equip students with practical skills, innovative thinking, and the ability to adapt to dynamic business environments.

First, hands-on practice; ELT underscores the importance of engaging students in activities such as internships, business simulations, and real-world projects that provide concrete experiences. Second, critical reflection; Reflective observation helps students learn from failures and successes, fostering resilience and adaptive thinking critical for entrepreneurship (Neck & Greene, 2011). Third, action-oriented learning; The active experimentation phase mirrors the entrepreneurial process, where students test ideas and adjust strategies based on outcomes.

While ELT has been widely adopted in educational contexts, it is not without criticism. Critics argue that the model may oversimplify the complexities of learning processes and may not fully account for cultural and contextual differences in learning styles (Bergsteiner et al., 2010). Additionally, implementing experiential learning approaches often requires significant resources, which can be challenging for institutions in resource-constrained environments like many Nigerian universities. Experiential Learning Theory offers a valuable framework for designing and implementing entrepreneurial education programs. By integrating hands-on activities, reflective practices, and real-world experimentation, educational planners can better prepare students for the challenges of entrepreneurship. However, addressing structural challenges such as resource limitations and instructor training remains critical to effectively applying ELT in Nigerian universities. This theory is relevant to the study as it emphasizes the learner's ability to engage all four stages of the experiential learning cycle. Both instructors and students may encounter success, failure, adventure, risk-taking, and uncertainty, as the outcomes of these experiences are not entirely predictable. This mirrors the reality faced by entrepreneurs, who must embrace risk and uncertainty to achieve success in their ventures.

Key elements of successful entrepreneurial programs

Successful entrepreneurial programs in higher education are characterized by several key elements that enhance their effectiveness in equipping students with the skills, knowledge, and mindset necessary to succeed as entrepreneurs. These elements include the curriculum, experiential learning, support systems, mentorship, and access to resources.

Comprehensive and flexible curriculum

A well-rounded curriculum is fundamental to an entrepreneurial program's success. It should balance theoretical knowledge with practical skills and be adaptable to the rapidly changing business environment. The curriculum should cover essential areas such as business management, innovation, marketing, finance, and ethics, while also promoting critical thinking, problem-solving, and creativity (Gibb, 2002). A flexible curriculum allows for the incorporation of emerging trends in entrepreneurship, such as digital entrepreneurship, social entrepreneurship, and sustainable business practices (Fayolle & Gailly, 2018).

Experiential learning opportunities

Entrepreneurship is best learned through experience. Successful programs provide students with hands-on learning opportunities such as business simulations, case studies, and real-world projects. These activities allow students to apply theoretical concepts in practical settings and develop decision-making skills (Kolb, 1984). Internship opportunities, startup

incubators, and hackathons further enhance experiential learning by giving students exposure to actual entrepreneurial challenges (Neck & Greene, 2011).

Access to resources and infrastructure
A key element of successful entrepreneurial programs is access to resources that facilitate the development and growth of student ventures. These resources include funding, physical spaces for collaboration (such as entrepreneurship hubs and innovation labs), and access to technology. Research indicates that programs with robust resource infrastructures, such as seed funding or venture capital, significantly improve the likelihood of entrepreneurial success (Audretsch, 2017). Access to cutting-edge technologies and business tools further supports students in turning ideas into viable businesses.

Mentorship and networking opportunities
Mentorship is crucial in guiding students through the complexities of entrepreneurship. Successful programs connect students with experienced entrepreneurs, industry professionals, and investors who can offer advice, share experiences, and help navigate challenges. Networking opportunities with peers, alumni, and business leaders enable students to expand their connections and gain insight into the entrepreneurial ecosystem (Pittaway & Cope, 2017). Research has shown that mentorship enhances entrepreneurial confidence, reduces failure rates, and accelerates business growth (Rae, 2006).

Focus on creativity and innovation
Entrepreneurship education programs must encourage creativity and innovation, which are essential for identifying new business opportunities and developing unique solutions. Programs that foster an innovative mindset typically include workshops, ideation sessions, and design thinking techniques that encourage students to explore new ideas and challenge conventional business models (Shane, 2003). Innovation is not limited to product development but also includes new ways of delivering services or addressing societal issues.

Supportive and inclusive learning environment
A supportive learning environment is key to the success of entrepreneurial programs. Creating an atmosphere that encourages risk-taking, collaboration, and learning from failure is essential for developing an entrepreneurial mindset. According to Neck & Greene (2011), a culture of inclusivity and psychological safety enables students to experiment, take risks, and learn from their mistakes, which are integral to the entrepreneurial process.

Emphasis on social and sustainable entrepreneurship
Successful programs often integrate social and sustainable entrepreneurship into their curricula, emphasizing the importance of entrepreneurship that addresses societal issues and promotes long-term sustainability. This focus can inspire students to create businesses that contribute to social development, reduce inequality, and promote environmental stewardship (Seelos & Mair, 2015). Social entrepreneurship education is particularly relevant in today's world, where students are increasingly motivated by the desire to make a positive impact (Hindle, 2010).

Assessment and feedback mechanisms
Effective programs include robust assessment tools and feedback mechanisms that allow students to measure their progress and learn from their experiences. Regular feedback from instructors, mentors, and peers helps students refine

their business ideas, improve their strategies, and increase their chances of success (Cope, 2005). Assessment can include evaluations of business plans, pitch presentations, and practical projects.

The key elements of successful entrepreneurial programs in higher education include a comprehensive curriculum, experiential learning opportunities, access to resources, mentorship, a focus on innovation, a supportive learning environment, and an emphasis on social responsibility. By incorporating these elements, institutions can better prepare students for the challenges of entrepreneurship and contribute to the creation of future business leaders who drive economic and social change.

CONCLUSION

Entrepreneurial programs in higher education play a pivotal role in shaping future leaders who can drive economic growth, foster innovation, and address societal challenges. By providing a comprehensive curriculum that blends theory with practical application, these programs equip students with the critical thinking, creativity, and business acumen necessary for entrepreneurial success. Moreover, experiential learning, mentorship, access to resources, and a supportive learning environment are essential for enhancing students' entrepreneurial capabilities.

The integration of entrepreneurship education into higher education not only boosts individual employability but also contributes to job creation, economic development, and the cultivation of a socially responsible and sustainable business environment. As global challenges evolve, entrepreneurial programs that emphasize innovation, sustainability, and social entrepreneurship will become increasingly vital for addressing issues like climate change, poverty, and inequality. Therefore, universities must continuously adapt and enhance their entrepreneurial curricula and support systems to meet the needs of the 21st century. By doing so, they will cultivate a new generation of entrepreneurs who are well-equipped to navigate uncertainties, seize opportunities, and create meaningful change in the world.

Recommendations

To enhance the effectiveness of entrepreneurial programs in higher education and better equip students for success in the entrepreneurial ecosystem, the following recommendations are proposed.

Curriculum development and innovation

Universities should continuously review and update their entrepreneurial curricula to ensure it is aligned with current trends, industry needs, and emerging global challenges. The curriculum should integrate practical skills, such as digital entrepreneurship, financial literacy, and sustainable business practices, while also fostering creativity and problem-solving skills. Collaboration with industry experts and entrepreneurs can ensure that the curriculum remains relevant and dynamic.

Expansion of experiential learning opportunities

Entrepreneurial programs should provide more hands-on learning experiences, such as internships, startup incubators, business simulations, and community engagement projects. These opportunities allow students to apply classroom knowledge in real-world contexts, build networks, and gain practical insights into the challenges and opportunities of entrepreneurship. Universities should

partner with local businesses, government agencies, and NGOs to provide students with diverse and meaningful experiential learning opportunities.

Strengthening mentorship and networking programs
Establishing strong mentorship programs is essential for guiding students through the entrepreneurial journey. Universities should create formal mentorship schemes that connect students with experienced entrepreneurs, alumni, and industry professionals. In addition, creating networking events, entrepreneurship fairs, and pitch competitions can help students build valuable connections and gain exposure to potential investors and collaborators.

Increased access to resources and funding
Entrepreneurial programs must provide access to necessary resources such as funding, technology, and infrastructure to support the development of student ventures. Universities should establish entrepreneurship hubs or innovation labs equipped with tools and resources for students to prototype and test their business ideas. Moreover, offering seed funding, grants, or partnerships with venture capitalists can help students overcome financial barriers to launching their businesses.

Encouraging a culture of risk-taking and resilience
Creating an environment that encourages risk-taking, failure, and resilience is critical for fostering an entrepreneurial mindset. Universities should emphasize the value of learning from mistakes and embracing uncertainty as part of the entrepreneurial process. Programs should integrate activities that challenge students to step outside their comfort zones, take calculated risks, and develop the persistence required to overcome setbacks.

Integration of social and sustainable entrepreneurship
To address global challenges and foster positive social change, universities should integrate social and sustainable entrepreneurship into their programs. Encouraging students to create businesses that focus on environmental sustainability, social equity, and community development can cultivate entrepreneurs who not only seek profit but also contribute to the well-being of society. Courses and projects centered around these areas can inspire students to innovate with purpose.

Building partnerships with industry and government
Collaborating with industry partners, government agencies, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) can provide students with access to real-world case studies, internships, funding, and collaborative projects. Universities should create strategic partnerships to build an entrepreneurial ecosystem that supports innovation, research commercialization, and the scaling of ventures.

Promoting inclusive entrepreneurship
Entrepreneurial programs should be inclusive and accessible to students from diverse backgrounds, including women, minorities, and underrepresented groups. Efforts should be made to provide equal opportunities for all students, regardless of gender, socioeconomic status, or ethnicity. Specialized support programs, scholarships, and mentoring initiatives can help level the playing field and encourage a more diverse entrepreneurial community.

Continuous assessment and feedback
It is important to implement a robust system for evaluating the effectiveness of entrepreneurial programs. Regular assessments, feedback mechanisms, and

student evaluations can help universities identify areas for improvement and track the progress of students' ventures. Incorporating feedback from students, alumni, and industry partners will ensure that programs remain effective and responsive to the needs of both students and the entrepreneurial ecosystem.

Promoting entrepreneurial mindset across disciplines

Entrepreneurship education should not be limited to business schools. Universities should promote entrepreneurial thinking across all disciplines, including science, engineering, arts, and humanities. By incorporating entrepreneurial principles into various fields of study, universities can create a culture of innovation and cross-disciplinary collaboration, empowering students to apply entrepreneurial approaches in a wide range of industries.

By implementing these recommendations, higher education institutions can enhance the quality and impact of their entrepreneurial programs. This will not only help students acquire the skills and knowledge necessary to succeed as entrepreneurs but also contribute to the broader goals of economic growth, job creation, and social development. The future of entrepreneurship education lies in creating programs that are adaptable, inclusive, and forward-thinking, preparing students to meet the challenges and seize the opportunities of an increasingly complex world.

REFERENCES

Adegbite, O., Ilori, M. O., Irefin, I. A., Abereijo, I. O., & Aderemi, H. O. S. (2017). Evaluation of the impact of entrepreneurial characteristics on the performance of small-scale manufacturing industries in Nigeria. *Journal of Asia Entrepreneurship and Sustainability, 3*(1), 1–22.

Audretsch, D. B. (2017). *The entrepreneurial society*. Oxford University Press.

Bergsteiner, H., Avery, G. C., & Neumann, R. (2010). Kolb's experiential learning model: Critique from a modeling perspective. *Studies in Continuing Education, 32*(1), 29–46.

Cope, J. (2005). Toward a dynamic learning perspective of entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 29*(4), 373–397.

Davwet, H. M., Damar, D. N., Goyit, M. G., & Kajang, Y. G. (2019). Evaluation of the implementation of undergraduate general studies entrepreneurship curriculum in federal universities in North-Central geo-political zone, Nigeria. *Creative Education, 10*(6), 1163-1179.

European Commission (2008). *Entrepreneurship in higher education, especially within non-business studies*. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.

Fayolle, A., & Gailly, B. (2018). From craft to science: Teaching models and learning processes in entrepreneurship education. *Journal of European Industrial Training, 32*(7), 569–593.

Federal Republic of Nigeria. (2004). *National Policy on Education*. Nigerian Educational Research and Development Council (NERDC) Press.

Gibb, A. A. (2002). In pursuit of a new "enterprise" and "entrepreneurship" paradigm for learning: Creative destruction, new values, new ways of doing things, and new combinations of knowledge. *International Journal of Management Reviews, 4*(3), 233–269.

Henry, C., Hill, F., & Leitch, C. (2005). Entrepreneurship education and training: Can entrepreneurship be taught? *Education + Training, 47*(2), 98–111.

Hindle, K. (2010). How community context affects entrepreneurial process: A diagnostic framework. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development, 22*(7-8), 599–647.

Ihugba, O. A., Odii, A., & Njoku, A. C. (2013). Challenges and prospects of entrepreneurship in Nigeria. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies, 2*(5), 25-36.

Kirby, D. A. (2004). Entrepreneurship education: Can business schools meet the challenge? *Education + Training, 46*(8/9), 510–519.

Kolb, A. Y., & Kolb, D. A. (2005). Learning styles and learning spaces: Enhancing experiential learning in higher education. *Academy of Management Learning & Education, 4*(2), 193–212.

Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Prentice Hall.

Nabi, G., Liñán, F., Fayolle, A., Krueger, N., & Walmsley, A. (2017). The impact of entrepreneurship education in higher education: A systematic review and research agenda. *Academy of Management Learning & Education, 16*(2), 277–299.

National Bureau of Statistics. (2019). *Labor Force Statistics - Volume I: Unemployment and Underemployment Report (Q4 2017-Q3 2018)*. National Bureau of Statistics.

Neck, H. M., & Greene, P. G. (2011). Entrepreneurship education: Known worlds and new frontiers. *Journal of Small Business Management, 49*(1), 55–70.

Obaji, N. O., & Olugu, M. U. (2014). The role of government policy in entrepreneurship development. *Science Journal of Business and Management, 2*(4), 109-115.

Ogundele, O. J. K., Akingbade, W. A., & Akinlabi, H. B. (2012). Entrepreneurship training and education as strategic tools for poverty alleviation in Nigeria. *American International Journal of Contemporary Research, 2*(1), 148-156.

Olawale, F., & Garwe, D. (2010). Obstacles to the growth of new SMEs in South Africa: A principal component analysis approach. *African Journal of Business Management, 4*(5), 729-738.

Pittaway, L., & Cope, J. (2017). Entrepreneurship education: A systematic review of the evidence. *International Small Business Journal, 25*(5), 479–510.

Rae, D. (2006). Entrepreneurial learning: A practical model from the creative industries. *Education + Training, 48*(8/9), 564–572.

Raposo, M., & Do Paço, A. (2011). Entrepreneurship education: Relationship between education and entrepreneurial activity. *Psicothema, 23*(3), 453–457.

Seelos, C., & Mair, J. (2015). Social entrepreneurship: Creating new business models to serve the poor. *Business Horizons, 48*(3), 241–246.

Shane, S. (2003). *A general theory of entrepreneurship: The individual-opportunity nexus*. Edward Elgar Publishing.

Usoro, A. (2012). *Leveraging Developing Economies with the Use of Information Technology: Trends and Tools*. IGI Global.