

Smartphone addiction and sedentary lifestyle among university students: a correlation study

Lina Handayani ^{a*}, Aditama Huzaifi Ahmad ^a, Tria Nisa Novianti ^a, Karimatul Khalidah ^a, Beddu Hafidz ^b

^a Department of Public Health, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

^b Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Thailand

* Corresponding author: linafkm@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Article history

Received : April 14, 2025

Revised : December 12, 2025

Accepted : December 16, 2025

Keywords:

Physical activity;
Sedentary lifestyle;
Smartphone addiction;
University students.

ABSTRACT

Smartphone addiction is a global concern, especially in Indonesia. Many preteens use smartphones extensively, but university students are at risk due to their autonomy and reliance on them for academic and entertainment purposes. These variables prolong screen time, increasing smartphone addiction and a sedentary lifestyle. Smartphone addicts are 2.7 times more likely to be sedentary, and 90% of teens use them while sitting. About 50% of university public health students are sedentary. Therefore, this study aims to determine the relationship between smartphone addiction and sedentary lifestyle among university students. This study is an analytical, observational, cross-sectional design. The population included students in the Universitas Ahmad Dahlan Public Health Study Program (2021–2024 cohorts). Purposive sampling selected 95 active, smartphone-using, and willing students to complete the online questionnaire. The Indonesian Smartphone Addiction Scale – Short Version (SAS-SV) and Indonesian Adolescent Sedentary Activity Questionnaire examined smartphone addiction and sedentary lifestyle. Proofreading is shown in the Supplementary Materials for both translated, validated, and pilot-tested instruments. Spearman's Rho correlation test was used to analyze the data. Moderate smartphone addiction (64.21%) and sedentary lifestyle (42.11%) were common among students. Spearman's Rho correlation analysis demonstrated a modest, non-significant association between smartphone addiction and sedentary lifestyle ($r = 0.090$; $p = 0.388$; $p > 0.05$), indicating a very weak, non-significant relationship. Although smartphone addiction and sedentary lifestyle were not significantly related, moderate smartphone addiction remains concerning, suggesting interventions should also target environmental, social, and economic factors.

This is an open-access article under the [CC-BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license.



1. Introduction

Mobile media, particularly smartphones, have transformed media consumption behavior worldwide. Smartphones have become essential devices for most individuals [1], and their use among children and adolescents has increased dramatically over the past decade [2]. Globally, smartphone usage continued to rise, with an estimated 7.21 billion users in 2024, representing more than 90% of the world's population [3]. In Germany, 93% of 12–19-year-olds own smartphones, and 92% use them every day. Young people in this age bracket spend 205 minutes each day on digital media



[10.12928/jcp.v8i1.13017](https://doi.org/10.12928/jcp.v8i1.13017)



jcp@ikm.uad.ac.id

devices [4]. The latest study has shown that excessive internet use, such as online gaming or social media use, can cause behavioral addiction-like symptoms, including gambling problems [5]. Furthermore, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reports a worrying association between mobile phone addiction and disruptive behavioral consequences, with significant increases in both conditions between 2010 and 2015. In the same five-year period, serious depression among heavy smartphone users surged 58% and suicide rates rose 65%. The CDC noted that heavy smartphone use had different psychological consequences on women than men [5].

In Indonesia, statistical data on Information and Communication Technology over the past three years show that Internet use has increased significantly, from 15% in 2014 to 51% in 2017, placing Indonesia as a country with one of the highest growth rates of internet users, five times higher than the global average between 2016 and 2017. The number of smartphone users in Indonesia exceeded the total population in 2014, reaching approximately 112%. This figure is highly significant compared to the average ratio of smartphone users to the Indonesian population [6]. This is certainly harmful for the individual, as using a smartphone for more than four hours a day can lead to poor sleep, increased anxiety, stress, and depression levels, and more [7]. Furthermore, using a smartphone while studying affects focus, which lowers motivation to learn [8]. This certainly has an impact on academic achievement, as prior research indicated that students who use their smartphones excessively usually receive lower grades [9].

Due to several studies, students struggle to put their smartphones away. Based on a Yogyakarta survey, 69.8% of students classified into the group of medium smartphones addicted [10]. Based on another study, 67.4% of Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia (UPI) Sumedang Campus undergraduate nursing students classified into the high category of smartphone addiction, the use of a smartphone for more than six hours a day being common [11]. Since how essential smartphones are to students' access to information, communication, education, and entertainment nowadays, this should be not unreasonable [3]. However, there are several consequences by using a smartphone as well, such addiction, disruption of social interactions, and interference daily activities [12].

The phenomenon shows that smartphone use is often while seated. Similarly, research conducted by Zakiyatul Fuadah et al. (2021), showed that students frequently use their smartphones while sitting or lying down, which are sedentary positions [8]. rather than walking to a restaurant or stall, students use the smartphones fixture to order meals online on their smartphones [14]. On the other hand, 90.9% of teenagers in a Chinese study who participated used smartphones when seated, which led to a sedentary lifestyle [15]. Then, an Indonesian study found that teenagers who were addicted to smartphones were 2.7 times more likely to have a sedentary lifestyle than those who were not addicted [16]. In addition to smartphone use, the sedentary lifestyle phenomenon can also be caused by several behaviors in front of the screen such as watching television, playing computers, and playing video games [17].

A sedentary lifestyle is highly harmful to both mental and physical health such as Non-communicable diseases obesity, heart disease, hypertension, and type 2 diabetes constitute some of the physical consequences of this sedentary lifestyle [18]. While on the mental aspect, some of the impacts are depression, anxiety, and decreased cognitive functions such as reduced learning ability and productivity [19]. Furthermore, people who lead more active lifestyles are generally happier than those who lead sedentary lifestyles [20]. Ironically, despite the potential health consequences, students in public health studies programs continue to lead sedentary lives and get addicted to smartphones. In contrast, they need to have been taught the fundamentals of public health science, including how to lead a clean and healthy lifestyle, in class. According to a study conducted on Muhammadiyah University Jakarta students enrolled in the Public Health Study Program, 119 out of 237 students (50.2%) lead a highly sedentary lifestyle [21].

A preliminary study conducted using questionnaires on smartphone addiction and sedentary lifestyle among 15 students from the Public Health and Nutrition Study Programs (cohorts 2021–2024) at the Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, indicated that students exhibited both smartphone addiction tendencies and sedentary lifestyle behaviors. Public Health students were found to spend more than 4 hours per day using a smartphone, compared to Nutrition students. In addition, Public Health students engaged in physical activity for only 1–2 hours per week, contributing to sedentary lifestyle patterns, whereas Nutrition students reported more than 3 hours of weekly physical activity. These preliminary findings highlight that, within the researchers' own study setting, sedentary behavior and excessive smartphone use are already evident among Public Health students.

While a research study was done in Kupang City, 47 out of 66 students (71.2%) enrolled in Nusa Cendana University Kupang's Public Health Study Program had a smartphone addiction [22]. Preliminary UAD data show a similar concern about high smartphone use and low levels of physical activity. Based on the above description, along with the considerable number of public health students who exhibit sedentary lifestyles and the high prevalence of smartphone addiction among students in public health programs, researchers are interested in conducting a study to determine the relationship between smartphone addiction and sedentary lifestyle among students.

2. Method

This study is an analytic, observational, cross-sectional design. The population consisted of all active students from the 2021–2024 cohorts of the Public Health Study Program, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, totaling 579 students. The sample size was calculated using the Slovin formula with a 10% margin of error, yielding a minimum sample size of 85 respondents. To anticipate non-response, an additional 10% was added, bringing the final target to 95 participants. Sampling was carried out based on inclusion criteria, namely being an active student in the 2021–2024 cohorts of the Public Health Study Program, being a smartphone user, and agreeing to participate by completing the online questionnaire. A total of 95 students who met these criteria were included in the study.

Data were collected using a demographic questionnaire and a sedentary lifestyle questionnaire. Sedentary lifestyle was measured using the Adolescent Sedentary Activity Questionnaire (ASAQ), developed by Hardy et al. (2007) and adapted into Indonesian by Pramita & Griadhi (2016) [23,24]. The Indonesian version consists of five domains—small-screen recreation, education, transportation, cultural activities, and social activities—and has demonstrated acceptable validity, with reliability coefficients ranging from 0.57 to 0.86. ASAQ identifies 11 categories of sedentary behavior, and respondents report the time spent in each category, in hours and minutes. The total time is then summed and divided by seven to obtain the average sedentary minutes per day. The level of sedentary behavior is categorized following Young et al. (2014) into three levels: low (< 2 hours/day), moderate (2–5 hours/day), and high (> 5 hours/day).

Smartphone addiction was measured using the Indonesian version of the Smartphone Addiction Scale–Short Version (SAS-SV), originally developed by Kwon et al. (2013) and later translated and adapted by Arthy et al. (2019). The instrument consists of 10 statements answered on a 1–6 Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree, 6 = strongly agree). The total score is obtained by summing all item scores for each respondent. Levels of smartphone addiction are categorized into low, moderate, and high using z-scores, with low corresponding to $z < -1.0$, moderate to z between -1.0 and +1.0, and high to $z > +1.0$. Concurrent validity testing showed a significant correlation ($r = 0.558$, $p < 0.001$), indicating medium validity. The Indonesian version also demonstrated strong internal

consistency, with a Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.931, confirming the instrument's reliability following cultural and linguistic adaptation [25].

The data collection process began on November 23, 2024 and was completed on November 30, 2024. Throughout this period, data were gathered in accordance with the approved protocol and ethical guidelines stipulated in the ethical clearance (KEPK/UMP/97/XII/2024). Before data collection commenced, respondents were informed about the study objectives, confidentiality, and their rights to withdraw at any time. Only participants who provided informed consent were included in the study. Data analysis was performed using Spearman rho to examine the relationship between continuous scores of smartphone addiction and sedentary duration. For categorical data, only gender was analyzed as a category using the Chi-square test.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Results

The total sample in this study was 95 university students. Furthermore, the respondent's characteristics, including gender, age, smartphone addiction level, and sedentary lifestyle level, are shown in Table 1. This table shows that the majority of respondents were female (84.21%) and primarily from the 2023 cohort (48.42%). More than half of the students had a moderate level of smartphone addiction (64.21%) and a moderate sedentary lifestyle (42.11%), indicating that both behaviours were generally present at moderate levels among the respondents.

Table 1. Frequency Distribution of Respondents' Characteristics Based on Gender and Year of Entry among Public Health Students at UAD

| | Characteristic | Total | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------|----------------|-------|----------------|
| Gender | Male | 15 | 15.79 |
| | Female | 80 | 84.21 |
| Year of Entry | 2021 | 9 | 9.47 |
| | 2022 | 35 | 36.84 |
| | 2023 | 46 | 48.42 |
| | 2024 | 5 | 5.26 |
| Addiction Level | Low | 17 | 17.89 |
| | Medium | 61 | 64.21 |
| | High | 17 | 17.89 |
| Sedentary Level | Low | 23 | 24.21 |
| | Medium | 40 | 42.11 |
| | High | 32 | 33.68 |

The relationship between smartphone addiction and students' sedentary lifestyle at the Faculty of Public Health, Ahmad Dahlan University, is shown in Table 2. To determine the relationship between smartphones and sedentary lifestyles, a Spearman's Rho correlation analysis was conducted. The analysis showed a correlation coefficient (r) of 0.090, indicating a very weak relationship between the two variables. The positive coefficient indicates that the higher the level of smartphone addiction, the higher the level of sedentary behaviour, but this relationship is not significant ($p=0.388$, $p>0.05$). For categorical data, the relationship between gender and sedentary lifestyle was analyzed using the Chi-square test, which showed a significant association ($p = 0.042$).

Table 2. Relationship of Gender and Smartphone Addiction with Sedentary Lifestyle among Students

| | Sedentary Lifestyle | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------|---------|
| | Type of Test | r-value | p-value |
| Gender | Chi-Square | - | 0.042 |
| Smartphone Addiction | Spearman's Rho | 0.090 | 0.388 |

3.2. Discussion

The use of smartphones among students is increasing as technological developments advance. Smartphones are the main tool in accessing information, communicating, and supporting academic activities. However, excessive use can lead to smartphone addiction, which has the potential to affect daily behavior patterns, including an increase in sedentary lifestyle.

The results showed that the majority of students were at a moderate level of smartphone addiction (64.21%) and a moderate sedentary level (42.11%). A total of 75.79% of students have a sedentary lifestyle of at least two to more than five hours per day. The results showed that students in the faculty of public health at Ahmad Dahlan University had a medium level of smartphone addiction and a sedentary lifestyle. This shows that respondents are highly dependent on smartphones in their daily lives and lead sedentary lifestyles. The results of this study indicate that although there is a tendency for a positive relationship between smartphone addiction and sedentary lifestyle, the relationship is not strong enough and not statistically significant. This means that high smartphone use does not directly correlate with increased sedentary behaviour among students in this study. It may occur due to uncontrolled confounding variables. It may be the limitations of this current study.

Several factors that cause smartphone addiction in college students are the inability to control themselves in using smartphones, academic stress, study boredom, as well as high media exposure [26]. In addition, low self-esteem and fear of missing important moments, which is known as fear of missing out (FOMO), also contribute to the increase in excessive smartphone use [10]. The impact of smartphone addiction is not limited only to the academic aspect, such as difficulty completing tasks and a tendency to postpone work [27]. But also on physical health aspects, such as neck, shoulder, and back pain due to bad posture [28], as well as visual impairment due to prolonged screen exposure [29].

Gender is one of the determinants of a sedentary lifestyle among university students. Male students tend to have lower sedentary levels than female students because they are more physically active and engage in sports more frequently [30]. Evidence shows that gender and educational differences in sedentary activity vary across the life span. Among adolescents, evidence suggests that gender differences and parents' education influence sedentary behavior. Boys have been shown to spend more total sitting time as measured by devices, but findings are inconsistent regarding whether gender is associated with screen time [31].

A sedentary lifestyle among university students is influenced by various factors, including technological advancement, socioeconomic conditions, and the environment. Male students generally exhibit lower sedentary levels because they are more engaged in physical activity [32]. Students with higher incomes also tend to be more physically active because they have better access to sports facilities [33]. The impact of a sedentary lifestyle can lead to increased risk of cardiovascular disease, obesity, type 2 diabetes, and mental health disorders such as stress, anxiety, and depression [34].

The weak and insignificant correlation found in this study differs from previous research. One study involving 500 young adults reported that students with high levels of smartphone addiction also exhibited higher levels of sedentary behavior [35]. Likewise, a study on high school adolescents found a significant relationship between smartphone addiction and high sedentary lifestyle. In the study, adolescents with smartphone addiction had a 2.7 times higher risk of experiencing sedentary behavior compared to adolescents who did not experience smartphone addiction [16].

The results of another study that does not match are research conducted in the city of Ahmedabad, which states that the higher the level of smartphone addiction in college students, the lower the level of physical activity [36]. This is due to the tendency of students to prefer watching movies or playing games in their spare time rather than doing physical activities [14]. Therefore,

students are expected to continue to be active in physical activity to reduce the level of smartphone addiction [37].

From a theoretical perspective, the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) provides additional insight into the lack of statistical significance. TPB posits that positive attitudes toward a behavior increase the intention to engage in it [38]. However, in this study, attitude did not significantly influence behavior, likely because respondents did not hold strong preferences. Many described the activities as routine or habitual—for instance, “I engage in this behavior because it has become a habit, not due to a specific intention.” Such neutral attitudes align with the insignificant findings. Moreover, previous studies have shown that when individuals perceive high behavioral control, the influence of attitude on intention tends to diminish [39]. Therefore, the combination of neutral attitudes and high perceived control may explain the weak influence of attitude observed in this study.

The absence of a relationship between smartphone addiction and a sedentary lifestyle in this study may be due to confounding factors, such as parents' socioeconomic status and the physical and social environments. One study showed that there is a relationship between parents' socioeconomic status and sedentary lifestyle [40]. Meanwhile, another study states that the physical and social environment affects low physical activity [41]. If a person lives in an environment that supports physical activity, they may remain active despite frequent smartphone use. Therefore, these factors may explain why the results of this study differ from those of previous studies.

4. Conclusion

The study found that most students have moderate levels of smartphone addiction and sedentary lifestyle, yet no significant relationship was identified between the two. This suggests that other factors—such as the physical and social environment and economic conditions—may influence students' sedentary behavior. Future studies should include additional variables and control for potential confounders to better understand these relationships. The findings imply that efforts to reduce sedentary behavior should not focus solely on smartphone addiction but also consider broader determinants. Practically, universities and policymakers can develop comprehensive health promotion strategies by expanding opportunities for physical activity, fostering supportive social environments, and increasing awareness of the health risks associated with sedentary lifestyles.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to all students who participated as respondents in this study.

Conflict of Interest

The authors report no conflicts of interest. No financial support or other relationships influenced the outcome or interpretation of the results in this study.

REFERENCES

1. Tariq FJ, Bin Irfan AR. Cell Phone Addiction: A Rising Epidemic. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2019;69:928–9.
2. Council on Communications and Media. Media Use in School-Aged Children and Adolescents. *Am Acad Pediatr*. 2022;138.
3. Naeem N-K, Naeem Z-F, Anwar AY. Smartphone usage and its associated behaviours among undergraduate medical students in Pakistan. *Discov Educ*. 2024;3.
4. Derevensky JL, Hayman V, Lynette Gilbeau. Behavioral Addictions: Excessive Gambling, Gaming, Internet, and Smartphone Use Among Children and Adolescents. *Pediatr Clin North Am* [Internet]. 2019;66:1163–82. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0031395519301166>

5. Kliesener T, Meigen C, Kiess W, Poulain T. Associations between problematic smartphone use and behavioural difficulties , quality of life , and school performance among children and adolescents. *BMC Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2022;1–12. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-022-03815-4>
6. Kumar V, Ranjan AK, Kumar P. Investigating Daily Mobile Device Use Among University Students in Indonesia Investigating Daily Mobile Device Use Among University Students in Indonesia. *IOP Conf Ser Mater Sci Eng Pap*. 2018;325.
7. Nikolic A, Bukurov B, Kocic I, Vukovic M, Ladjevic N, Vrhovac M, et al. Smartphone addiction, sleep quality, depression, anxiety, and stress among medical students. *Front Public Heal*. 2023;11:1–10.
8. Asmurti, Unde AA, Rahamma T. Effect of Smartphone Use in School Environments on Student Learning Achievements. *J Komun KAREBA*. 2017;6:225–34.
9. Zhang J, Zeng Y. Effect of College Students' Smartphone Addiction on Academic Achievement: The Mediating Role of Academic Anxiety and Moderating Role of Sense of Academic Control. *Psychol Res Behav Manag*. 2024;17:933–44.
10. Sumiyarini R, Yuliyani A. Kecanduan Smartphone dan Stres Akademik di Masa Pandemi : Sebuah Studi Potong Lintang. *Smart Soc Empower J*. 2022;2:57.
11. Puspa Dewi Anggraini, Diding Kelana Setiadi RR. Hubungan Kecanduan Smartphone Dengan Kemampuan Komunikasi Interpersonal Mahasiswa S1 Keperawatan. *J Keperawatan dan Fisioter*. 2024;6.
12. Mansyur RR, Marhani M, Pribadi I, Anuar A Bin. Smartphone Addiction and Hubungan Sosial dalam Keluarga. *Guid J Ilmu Pendidikan, Psikologi, Bimbing dan Konseling*. 2023;13:153.
13. Zakiyatul Fuadah D, Siswoaribowo A, Diniaty E. Sedentary Lifestyle with Social Interaction In Adolescent. *J Appl Nurs Heal*. 2021;3:71–6.
14. Purba L, Djabumona MA, Bangun M, Sitorus F, Silalahi E. Faktor Risiko Prediabetes Pada Mahasiswa Keperawatan Di Satu Universitas Swasta Indonesia Barat [Risk Factors of Prediabetes in Nursing Students At a Private University in West Indonesia]. *Nurs Curr J Keperawatan*. 2021;9:56.
15. Xiang MQ, Lin L, Wang ZR, Li J, Xu Z, Hu M. Sedentary Behavior and Problematic Smartphone Use in Chinese Adolescents: The Moderating Role of Self-Control. *Front Psychol*. 2020;10:1–9.
16. Shinta R, Fitriani A. Hubungan Asupan Kalori, Aktivitas Fisik, Perilaku Sedentari, Dan Adiksi Smartphone Dengan Kejadian Overweight Pada Remaja Di Sman 3 Rangkasbitung. *ARGIPA (Arsip Gizi dan Pangan)*. 2024;9:99–110.
17. Raymond D, Leo L. Intensitas Bermain Game Online Terhadap Pola Aktivitas Fisik Siswa Smp Advent Menia, Kabupaten Sabu, Rai Jua, Ntt Online Game Intensity on the Physical Activity Pattern of Advent Menia Middle School Students, Sabu Rai Jua District, Ntt. *J Sk Keperawatan*. 2020;6:72–80.
18. Maidartati, Hayati S, Anggraeni DE, Irawan E, Damayanti A, Silviani DAR. Gambaran Sedentary Lifestyle Pada Remaja Di SMA Kota Bandung. *J Keperawatan BSI*. 2022;10:250–65.
19. Syafa TA, Misrah M. Pengaruh sedentary lifestyle terhadap kesehatan mental pada remaja. *SCHOULID Indones J Sch Couns*. 2024;9:174–85.
20. Aurole N. Hubungan Aaktivitas Fisik dengan Kebahagaiaan pada Masa Pandemi Covid-19. *Indones J Heal Sci*. 2022;2:9–15.
21. Sofiany R, Setyawati MI. Portrait of The Sedentary Lifestyle Among Students From Public Health School. *Muhammadiyah J Epidemiol*. 2021;1:65–72.
22. Radja YL, Limbu R, Bunga EZH, Weraman P, Masyarakat K, Masyarakat FK, et al. Analisis Hubungan Smartphone Addiction , Kecemasan , dan Stres Terhadap Kejadian Insomnia pada Mahasiswa Program Studi Kesehatan Masyarakat Universitas Nusa Cendana Kupang. *2024;3:798–813*.
23. Hardy LL, Booth ML, Okely AD. The reliability of the Adolescent Sedentary Activity Questionnaire (ASAQ). *Prev Med (Baltim)*. 2007;45:71–4.
24. Pramita RD, Griadhi IPA. Hubungan antara Perilaku Sedentari dengan Indeks Massa Tubuh Siswa kelas V di SD Cipta Dharma Denpasar. *Med Udayana*. 2016;5.

25. Arthy CC, Effendy E, Amin MM, Loebis B, Camellia V, Husada MS. Indonesian Version of Addiction Rating Scale of Smartphone Usage Adapted from Smartphone Addiction Scale-Short Version (SAS-SV) In Junior High School. 2019;7:3235–9.
26. Lestari R, Sulian I. Faktor-Faktor Penyebab Siswa Kecanduan Handphone Studi Deskriptif Pada Siswa Di Smp Negeri 13 Kota Bengkulu. Cons J Ilm Bimbing dan Konseling. 2020;3:23–37.
27. Sitorus TR. Hubungan Smartphone Addiction dengan Prokrastinasi Akademik pada Mahasiswa Fakultas Psikologi Universitas Mercu Buana Jakarta Barat. Wacana. 2022;14:57.
28. Ratan ZA, Parrish AM, Zaman S Bin, Alotaibi MS, Hosseinzadeh H. Smartphone addiction and associated health outcomes in adult populations: A systematic review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18.
29. Ramadhani M, Nur A, Maritza K, Maulia D. Fenomena Kecanduan Gadget pada Mahasiswa Farmasi di Lingkungan Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar. 2024;2:315–25.
30. Prince SA, Roberts KC, Melvin A, Butler GP, Thompson W. Gender and education differences in sedentary behaviour in Canada : an analysis of national cross-sectional surveys. BMC Public Health. 2020;20:1–17.
31. Colley RC, Carson V, Garriguet D, Janssen I, Roberts KC, Tremblay MS. Physical activity of Canadian children and youth , 2007 to 2015. 2017;
32. Farradika Y, Umniyatun Y, Nurmansyah MI, Jannah M. Perilaku Aktivitas Fisik dan Determinannya pada Mahasiswa Fakultas Ilmu - Ilmu Kesehatan Universitas Muhammadiyah Prof. Dr. Hamka. ARKESMAS (Arsip Kesehat Masyarakat). 2019;4:134–42.
33. Savage MJ, Magistro D, Hennis PJ, Donaldson J, Healy LC, Hunter KA, et al. Determining factors of physical activity and sedentary behaviour in university students during the COVID-19 pandemic: A longitudinal study. PLoS One. 2024;19:1–13.
34. Lee E, Kim Y. Effect of university students' sedentary behavior on stress, anxiety, and depression. Perspect Psychiatr Care. 2019;55:164–9.
35. Jawed B, Suri N, Hasan Inam AM. Prevalence of Smartphone Addiction with Sedentary and Non Sedentary Lifestyle and Its Impact on Wrist Rom and Upper Limb Function. Int J Heal Sci Res. 2023;13:185–91.
36. Barot DNU, M. Patel DA. Correlation Between Smartphone Addiction and Physical Activity Among College Students Across Ahmedabad City. Int J Heal Sci Res. 2023;13:35–9.
37. Zhang R, Jiang Q, Cheng M, Rhim YT. The effect of smartphone addiction on adolescent health: the moderating effect of leisure physical activities. Psicol Reflex e Crit. 2024;37.
38. Liao C. Exploring social media determinants in fostering pro-environmental behavior : insights from social impact theory and the theory of planned behavior. Front Psychol. 2024;15:1–19.
39. Bosnjak M, Ajzen I, Schmidt P. Editorial The Theory of Planned Behavior : Selected Recent Advances and Applications. Eur J Psychol. 2020;16.
40. Asri TIC. Hubungan Status Sosial Ekonomi Orang Tua Terhadap Perilaku Sedentari Serta Gaya Hidup Aktif Pada Anak Sekolah Dasar. Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia; 2023.
41. Lee K, Wang J, Heo J. How the physical inactivity is affected by social-, economic- and physical-environmental factors: an exploratory study using the machine learning approach. Int J Digit Earth. 2023;16:2503–21.